

SI485H: Stack Based Binary Exploits and Defenses**06-Week Written Exam**

Name _____

Alpha _____

Question	Points
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
Total	

1. Consider the following C program below for this question

```
int main(){
    char str[5];
    unsigned int i = 0xdeadbeef;

    memcpy(str,&i,4); // MARK 1

    str[4]=0x0; // MARK 2

    char *p;
    for(p=str;*p;p++){ //MARK 3
        printf("%p : 0x%02hhx\n", p , *p); //MARK 4
    }

    return 0;
}
```

a) (4 POINTS) At **MARK 1**, 4 bytes of the integer **i** are copied to **str**. Why is the **&** necessary with respect to its usage with **i**? What would happen if the **&** were not used?

b) (4 POINTS) At **MARK 2**, index 4 of **str** is set to 0x0. Why is this necessary with respect to the for loop at **MARK 3**? If this was not done, how would the output of the program be affected?

c) (4 POINTS) At **MARK 4**, the format strings **%02hhx** specifies what format for ***p**? Explain how this relates to the pointer type of **p** being **char ***.

d) (4 POINTS) Assuming that the value of **str** is 0xbfc39447, what is the output of this program? **BE PRECISE!**

e) (4 POINTS) Consider an alternate version of the program: Would the output change? **If so, describe how? If not, describe why not?**

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(){

    unsigned short str[3];
    unsigned int i = 0xdeadbeef;

    memcpy(str,&i,4);

    str[2]= 0x0;

    char *p;
    for(p=str;*p;p++){
        printf("%p : 0x%02hhx\n", p , *p);
    }

    return 0;
}
```

2. Consider the disassembled program below for the function **foo**, **bar**, and **baz**, and the **main()** function in c.

```
(gdb) ds foo
Dump of assembler code for function foo:
0x08048432 <+0>:  push    ebp
0x08048433 <+1>:  mov     ebp,esp
0x08048435 <+3>:  sub     esp,0x4
0x08048438 <+6>:  mov     eax,DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8]
0x0804843b <+9>:  mov     DWORD PTR [esp],eax
0x0804843e <+12>: call    0x08048428 <bar>
0x08048443 <+17>: mov     DWORD PTR [esp],eax
0x08048446 <+20>: call    0x0804841d <baz>
0x0804844b <+25>: leave
0x0804844c <+26>: ret
End of assembler dump.
(gdb) ds bar
Dump of assembler code for function bar:
0x08048428 <+0>:  push    ebp
0x08048429 <+1>:  mov     ebp,esp
0x0804842b <+3>:  mov     eax,DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8]
0x0804842e <+6>:  not     eax
0x08048430 <+8>:  pop     ebp
0x08048431 <+9>:  ret
End of assembler dump.
(gdb) ds baz
Dump of assembler code for function baz:
0x0804841d <+0>:  push    ebp
0x0804841e <+1>:  mov     ebp,esp
0x08048420 <+3>:  mov     eax,DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8]
0x08048423 <+6>:  add     eax,0x1
0x08048426 <+9>:  pop     ebp
0x08048427 <+10>: ret
End of assembler dump.
```

```
-----
int main(){
    unsigned int f = foo(0x11111111);
    printf("0x%08x\n",f);
}
```

a) (3 POINTS) In the function **foo**, **CIRCLE** the line of assembly that indicates access to the argument to the function **foo**.

If **foo** had **two arguments** instead of one, at what address would the second argument be placed?

b) (4 POINTS) Write the source code for function **foo** below.

- c) (3 POINTS) Consider the call stack when function **foo** is about to call function **bar**. Complete the **two missing** spots in the stack diagram to the right. Assume the indicated instruction just completed, and also refer to the source code for main.

	0x0804843e <foo+9>
ebp+0x8 ->	
ebp+0x4 ->	0x08048462
ebp ->	0xbfff0408
esp ->	
<- 4 bytes ->	

- d) (3 POINTS) Consider the call stack for the function **bar**. Complete the diagram to the right with the **two missing** spots filled in.

	0x0804842e <bar+3>
ebp+0x8 ->	
ebp+0x4 ->	
ebp,esp ->	0xbfff0430
<- 4 bytes ->	

- e) (4 POINTS) Why is it the case that function **bar** and **baz** does not subtract from the stack pointer like the function **foo**?

- f) (3 POINTS) What is the output of executing this program, assuming all types are unsigned? (*Hint: not inverts bytes, so 0x1 in bits is 0001 thus its inverse is 1110*)

3. Consider the disassembled program below:

```
$ gdb -q q3
Reading symbols from q3...(no debugging symbols found)...done.
(gdb) br foo
Breakpoint 1 at 0x8048453
(gdb) r 5 1
Starting program: ./q3 5 1

Breakpoint 1, 0x08048453 in foo ()
(gdb) ds
Dump of assembler code for function foo:
0x0804844d <+0>:  push    ebp
0x0804844e <+1>:  mov     ebp,esp
0x08048450 <+3>:  sub     esp,0x10
=> 0x08048453 <+6>:  mov     DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4],0x0
0x0804845a <+13>: mov     DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8],0x0
0x08048461 <+20>: jmp     0x8048476 <foo+41>
0x08048463 <+22>: mov     eax,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8]
0x08048466 <+25>: mov     edx,DWORD PTR [ebp+0xc]
0x08048469 <+28>: mov     ecx,eax
0x0804846b <+30>: shl     edx,cl
0x0804846d <+32>: mov     eax,edx
0x0804846f <+34>: add     DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4],eax
0x08048472 <+37>: add     DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8],0x1
0x08048476 <+41>: mov     eax,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x8]
0x08048479 <+44>: cmp     eax,DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8]
0x0804847c <+47>: jl      0x8048463 <foo+22>
0x0804847e <+49>: mov     eax,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4]
0x08048481 <+52>: leave
0x08048482 <+53>: ret
End of assembler dump.
```

a) (2 POINTS) Provide the proper **gcc** compilation command such that **q3** will be compiled to not have the **no debugging symbols found** message removed when run under **gdb**?

b) (2 POINTS) Currently there is a break point at **foo**. If the user wished to place a break point to occur after the **cmp** instruction, produce the **gdb** command below?

c) (4 POINTS) After next break point, occurring after the **cmp** command, Provide two **gdb** commands that show the value of the register **eax** and the value at the address **ebp+0x8** as hex words.

d) (2 POINTS) How come the conditional jump **jl** instruction has only one operand, which is the next instruction to jump to, and not anything about the condition? What and where is the condition actually tested and how is **jl** receiving that information?

f) (3 Point) Write the source code for the function **foo**.

e) (4 POINTS) Assume the user issues the command **c 3** since adding the break from part (c). Fill in the stack diagram with the correct values at this point in the program assuming **foo(5,1)** was called:

<- 4 bytes ->	
ebp+0xc ->	<input type="text"/>
ebp+0x8 ->	<input type="text"/>
ebp+0x4 ->	0x08048562
ebp ->	0xbfff04dc
ebp-0x4 ->	<input type="text"/>
ebp-0x8 ->	<input type="text"/>

g) (2 POINT) What is the return value of the function when called with **foo(5,1)**

4. Consider the following disassembled code for function `foo`:

```
(gdb) ds foo
Dump of assembler code for function foo:
0x0804844d <+0>: push ebp
0x0804844e <+1>: mov ebp,esp
0x08048450 <+3>: sub esp,0x48
0x08048453 <+6>: mov DWORD PTR [ebp-0xc],0x0
0x0804845a <+13>: mov eax,DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8]
0x0804845d <+16>: mov DWORD PTR [esp+0x4],eax
0x08048461 <+20>: lea eax,[ebp-0x2c]
0x08048464 <+23>: mov DWORD PTR [esp],eax
0x08048467 <+26>: call 0x8048320 <strcpy@plt>
0x0804846c <+31>: jmp 0x804848c <foo+63>
0x0804846e <+33>: lea eax,[ebp-0x2c]
0x08048471 <+36>: mov DWORD PTR [esp+0x8],eax
0x08048475 <+40>: mov eax,DWORD PTR [ebp-0xc]
0x08048478 <+43>: mov DWORD PTR [esp+0x4],eax
0x0804847c <+47>: mov DWORD PTR [esp],0x8048540
0x08048483 <+54>: call 0x8048310 <printf@plt>
0x08048488 <+59>: add DWORD PTR [ebp-0xc],0x1
0x0804848c <+63>: cmp DWORD PTR [ebp-0xc],0x2
0x08048490 <+67>: jle 0x804846e <foo+33>
0x08048492 <+69>: leave
0x08048493 <+70>: ret
End of assembler dump.
(gdb) r "Go Navy"
Starting program: ./main "Go Navy"
0: Go Navy
1: Go Navy
2: Go Navy
[Inferior 1 (process 3044) exited with code 013]
```

a) (4 POINTS) Write the source code for function **foo**:

b) (2 POINTS) Consider executing the program **main** which calls **foo** using the command line argument like **foo(argv[1])**.

```
./main `python -c "print 'A'*x`
```

At what value of **x** does the functionality of the loop change?

c) (3 Points) **Explain** your previous answer:

d) (4 POINTS) Complete the command line arguments below such that the loop will execute exactly **5 times** as opposed to the 3 times it is currently executing:

```
./main `python -c "
```

```
"`
```

e) (4 POINTS) Consider the fact the function **bar** is at address **0x0804844d** and the function **baz** is at address **0x0804892c**. Write a command line argument below such that upon return from **foo**, first the function **bar** would execute followed by the function **baz**:

```
./main `python -c "
```

```
"`
```

f) (3 POINTS) If the function **bar** was at address **0x08048a00** instead of the one described above, would the exploit still work? If so, explain why. If not, explain why not.

5. Consider the following shell code disassembly from objdump:

```

08048060 <_start>:
8048060:  eb 20                      jmp     8048082 <callback>

08048062 <dowork>:
8048062:  5e                        pop     esi           ;MARK 1
8048063:  6a 00                    push    0x0
8048065:  56                        push    esi
8048066:  ba 00 00 00 00          mov     edx,0x0
804806b:  89 e1                    mov     ecx,esp       ;MARK 2
804806d:  89 f3                    mov     ebx,esi
804806f:  b8 0b 00 00 00          mov     eax,0xb
8048074:  cd 80                    int     0x80          ;MARK 3
8048076:  bb 00 00 00 00          mov     ebx,0x0
804807b:  b8 01 00 00 00          mov     eax,0x1
8048080:  cd 80                    int     0x80          ;MARK 4

08048082 <callback>:
8048082:  e8 db ff ff ff          call    8048062 <dowork> ; MARK 5
8048087:  2f 62 69 6e 2f 73 68 00 db /bin/sh\0

```

a) (3 POINTS) The following code using a **jump-callback** to avoid a fixed reference. Explain why this is necessary for shell code as compared to using the named reference to the shell code, e.g., **shell**, like in the instruction below:

shell: db "/bin/sh/",0x0

b) (3 POINTS) After the instruction at **MARK 5** completes, what value is pushed onto the top of the stack and is popped into the **esi** register? Explain why and how this value was pushed onto the stack.

c) (4 POINTS) At **MARK 2** the current stack pointer value (as stored in the **esp** register) is stored in register **ecx**. What part of the **execve()** call does this pointer value represent? **DRAW a diagram to support your explanation.**

d) (3 POINTS) If we were to use this shell code in an exploit like so:

```
./vulnerable_program $(printf `./hexify.sh shellcode`)
```

where **vulnerable_program** used a **strcpy()**, would this be a successful exploit or will it fail? **Explain why or why not.**

e) (5 POINTS) Write the corrected version of the shell code that would produce a successful exploit.

f) (2 POINTS) What system call is associated with the interrupt instruction at **MARK 4**?